SEC-11-01-S
State CIO Adopted: June 8, 2023
TSB Approved: June 8, 2023
Sunset Review: June 8, 2026

Replaces:
IT Security Standard 141.10 (1.2.1)
December 11, 2017

RISK ASSESSMENT STANDARD

See Also:
RCW 43.105.054 WaTech Governance
RCW 43.105.052 Powers and duties of agency—Application to higher education, legislature, and judiciary.
RCW 43.105.020 (22) "State agency"
RCW 43.105.450 Office of Cybersecurity
Risk Management Framework for Information Systems and Organizations (RMF).
NIST SP 800-30. R1, Guide for Conducting Risk Assessments
NIST SP 800-39 Managing Information Security Risk
CIS Critical Security Controls
SEC-11 Information Security Risk Management Policy
SEC-02 Security Assessment and Authorization Policy
SEC-11-02-S Vulnerability Management Standard

1. **Agencies must conduct risk assessments at critical points:**

    a. Prior to the acquisition of an information system, cloud service, or managed service which will store, process, or transmit category 3 or category 4 data.

    b. When an existing agency-controlled information system undergoes a significant change in technology or use. Examples include significant software upgrades, changes in hosting platforms or vendors, or changes in the data categorization or volume of records stored, processed, or transmitted by the system.

    c. At least once every three years for all agency-controlled information systems that store, process, or transmit category 3 or category 4 data.

    d. Annually for information systems the agency deems to be business essential.

    e. Prior to the sharing of category 3 or category 4 data as with agencies and/or vendors. See SEC-08 Data Sharing Policy and SEC-08-01-S Data Classification Standard for details.

    f. When a security patch is not applied.

2. **Agencies must prepare for the risk assessment by identifying the purpose, scope, assumptions and constraints, threat intelligence sources, and risk model and analytic approach.**

    a. Identify Purpose: Agencies must identify how it will use the risk assessment and the information needed to achieve that goal.

    b. Identify Scope: Agencies must identify the scope in terms of the technology/systems to be assessed, the categorization of data processed by those systems, and the risk owners for those systems.

    c. Identify Assumptions and Constraints: Agencies must identify the specific assumptions and constraints under which the risk assessment is conducted.

    d. Identify Threat Intelligence Sources: Agencies must identify the sources of descriptive, threat, vulnerability, and impact information to be used in the assessment. WaTech risk management resources that are designated for this purpose satisfy this standard.

    e. Identify Risk Model and Analytic Approach: The methodology described in this standard provides agencies with a baseline risk assessment approach. Agencies must identify any supplemental risk models and/or analytic approaches appropriate to the risk assessment goals.

3. **Agencies must conduct risk assessments to identify threat sources, threat events, likelihood, impact, and risk.**

    a. Identify Threat Sources: Agencies must identify and characterize threat sources of concern to assets within the scope of the assessment; including capability, intent, and targeting characteristics for adversarial threats and range of effect for non-adversarial threats. The Vulnerability Management Standard includes threat intelligence requirements.

    b. Identify Threat Events: Agencies must identify actions that threat sources may initiate exploit vulnerabilities. The Vulnerability Management Standard includes requirements for threat event identification. This includes vulnerabilities identified by vendors or those discovered using hardware/software vulnerability scans.

    c. Determine Likelihood: Agencies must estimate the likelihood that threat events of concern result in adverse impacts, considering:

        i. The characteristics of the threat sources that could initiate the events.

ii. The vulnerabilities identified.

iii. The organizational susceptibility reflecting the safeguards/countermeasures planned or implemented to impede such events. Likelihood can be expressed either qualitatively, quantitatively, or semi-qualitatively depending on agency needs.

iv. Agencies must base their qualitative likelihood criteria on the below likelihood scale:

Likelihood Rating	Likelihood Measurement	Chance of the Risk Occurrence Within a Year
High	5	Greater than 80%
Moderately High	4	Greater than 60% and less than/equal to 80%
Moderate	3	Greater than 40% and less than/equal to 60%
Moderately Low	2	Greater than 20% and less than/equal to 40%
Low	1	Less than/equal to 20%

d. Determine Impact: Agencies must determine the adverse impacts from the threat events of concern, considering:

i. The characteristics of the threat sources that could initiate the events.

ii. The vulnerabilities identified.

iii. The organizational susceptibility reflecting the safeguards/countermeasures planned or implemented to impede such events.

iv. Agencies must base their qualitative impact criteria on the below impact scale:

Impact Rating	Impact Measurement
High	5
Moderately High	4
Moderate	3
Moderately Low	2
Low	1

e. Determine Risk: Agencies must identify the risks posed by threat actors attacking vulnerabilities within the assessment scope.

i. Inherent risk is calculated as follows:

Impact * Likelihood (1-5) = Inherent Risk

ii. Agency must rank their qualitative risk ratings on the scale below:

Inherent Risk		Likelihood				
		High (5)	Moderately High (4)	Moderate (3)	Moderately Low (2)	Low (1)
Impact	High (5)	25	20	15	10	5
	Moderately High (4)	20	16	12	8	4
	Moderate (3)	15	12	9	6	3
	Moderately Low (2)	10	8	6	4	2
	Low (1)	5	4	3	2	1

4. Agencies must communicate and share risk assessment results.

a. Agencies must communicate and share risk assessment results to appropriate agency decision makers and interested parties to support risk response.

b. Agencies must share risk-related information produced during the risk assessment with appropriate organizational personnel.

c. Agencies are encouraged to consult with WaTech regarding any project to determine whether a security design review and risk assessment is recommended.

REFERENCES

1. Definition of Terms Used in WaTech Policies and Reports.
2. SEC-08 Data Sharing Policy.

3. SEC-08-01-S Data Classification Standard.

CONTACT INFORMATION

- For questions about this policy, please email the WaTech Policy Mailbox.
- For technical assistance, please email Risk Management.